

UNITED STAT DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

Sw

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/904,299	07/31/97	LUNDBERG		R	
PAUL L BROWN		: QM01/0810	٦	EXAMINER	
		THE RELEASE AND A CONTRACT AND THE		KAMEN, N	I
MRICH AND D	ITHMAR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WITE 300 WOO SOUTH WA				3747	20
HICAGO IL 6	0606			DATE MAILED:	08/10/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. Start 7 See Land
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Anti-nit
	KAMEN 3747
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	3
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/20/	, oo
This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) 1-6,9-12,16-3	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Of the above claim(s) 17-77	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
\Box Claim(s) $1-6,10-12,15,10$	is/are rejected.
	is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)	
Application Papers	requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	·
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	ed to by the Examiner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the 	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. 	ne priority documents have been
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	ne priority documents have been
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number 	ne priority documents have been ') national Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the Inter *Certified copies not received: 	ne priority documents have been ') national Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the International 	ne priority documents have been) national Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the Inter *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	ne priority documents have been) national Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Application/Control Number: 08/904,299 Page 2

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 9-11, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grennan (fig.2). Grennan shows all of the recited elements except for the capacity of the generator 166. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to size the generator according to the supply system size and degree of peak capacity. Furthermore, the use of gauges, valves and appropriate controls are inherently necessary for the proper operation of Grennan.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/20/00 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Grennan has a motor 166 which requires combustion for its operation. The examiner agrees that a motor is needed for the operation, but the motor could just as well be powered by batteries charged when the gas is expanded. Grennan is silent as to how the motor is powered.

ا فاس م_{انان} Application/Control Number: 08/904,299 Page 3

Art Unit: 3747

The applicant argues that Grennan teach (col.4, lines 11+) that combustion is carried out to increase the temperature of the fluid. The examiner argues that the embodiment of figure 2 does not require the combustion of gas.

The applicant argues that Grennan does not teach a method of generating power or a satellite assembly which requires the direct utilization of a portion of the gas glowing through the delivery conduit pipeline to a customer. Instead, Grennan discloses a co-generation scheme which utilizes the flow of gas between the high and low pressure systems, not the diversion of a portion of gas that is flowing through the low pressure delivery conduit pipeline to each customer. The examiner contends that Grennan clearly teaches (col.6, lines 38+) an arrangement where natural gas is transported at a high pressure over long distances and then reduced when it reaches its region of use and that there are a plurality of distribution lines (read satellites). Furthermore, if one of ordinary skill in the art did not require off peak energy storage, then the entire compression system could be removed. "It is well settled, however, that omission of an element and its function in a combination is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same functions as before." In re Karlson, 136 USPQ 184.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 3747

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Inquiries concerning the examiner's action should be directed to Noah Kamen at (703) 308-1945. The supervisory examiner, Henry Yuen, can be called at 308-1946. Fax is 308-7764. Questions of a general nature concerning the application should be directed to the group receptionist at 308-0861.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3747 August 9, 2000